Oncogenic Signaling by Leukemia-Associated Mutant Cbl Proteins
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Abstract

Members of the Cbl protein family (Cbl, Cbl-b, and Cbl-c) are E3 ubiquitin ligases that have emerged as critical negative regulators of protein tyrosine kinase (PTK) signaling. This function reflects their ability to directly interact with activated PTKs and to target them as well as their associated signaling components for ubiquitination. Given the critical roles of PTK signaling in driving oncogenesis, recent studies in animal models and genetic analyses in human cancer have firmly established that Cbl proteins function as tumor suppressors. Missense mutations or small in-frame deletions within the regions of Cbl protein that are essential for its E3 activity have been identified in nearly 5% of leukemia patients with myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative disorders. Based on evidence from cell culture studies, in vivo models and clinical data, we discuss the potential signaling mechanisms of mutant Cbl-driven oncogenesis. Mechanistic insights into oncogenic Cbl mutants and associated animal models are likely to enhance our understanding of normal hematopoietic stem cell homeostasis and provide avenues for targeted therapy of mutant Cbl-driven cancers.
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Introduction to Cbl Proteins

Cbl (Casitas B-lineage lymphoma) proteins are an evolutionarily-conserved family of multi-domain proteins that serve as regulators of cellular signaling based on their protein tyrosine kinase (PTK)-directed E3 ubiquitin ligase function. While simpler organisms such as C. elegans and Drosophila possess a single Cbl gene, mammalian genomes encode three distinct Cbl-family proteins: Cbl (also known as c-Cbl), Cbl-b, and Cbl-c (also known as Cbl-3 or Cbl-SL) [1-6].

All Cbl-family proteins, including the three mammalian members of the protein family, share a highly homologous N-terminal region that serves as the structural platform for direct binding to phosphopeptide motifs in activated tyrosine kinases and is accordingly known as the tyrosine kinase-binding (TKB) domain. This domain is in fact a unique assembly of a four-helical bundle (4H), a variant SH2 domain and an EF hand domain, only found in Cbl-family proteins [7]. The TKB domain is followed by a highly conserved linker helical region (LHR) and a RING (Really Interesting New Gene) finger domain; these two regions together form the structural platform for binding to an ubiquitin conjugating enzyme (E2) and this interaction is essential for E3 ligase activity of Cbl proteins. The three N-terminal domains (TKB, linker and RING finger) are necessary as well as sufficient for activated tyrosine kinase-directed E3 ligase activity [8,9]. Among mammalian Cbl proteins, Cbl and Cbl-b contain extensive additional C-terminal regions that serve to mediate protein-protein interactions. These include a proline-rich region that mediates interactions of Cbl proteins with SH3 domain-containing proteins including Src-family kinases, Grb2, Cin-85 and others; several tyrosine residues whose phosphorylation generates binding motifs for interaction with SH2 domain-containing signaling proteins including Vav-family guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) for Rho-family GTPases (human Cbl Y700), p85 subunit of PI 3-kinase (human Cbl Y731) and Crk-family adaptor proteins that link Cbl proteins to C3G, a GEF for Ras-related small GTPase Rap1 (human Cbl Y774). The distal C-terminal region encodes a leucine zipper (LZ)-like region that is known to facilitate dimerization under certain experimental conditions [10,11]; this region also functions as a ubiquitin-associating domain (UBA) capable of binding to ubiquitin chains [12]. However, the functional roles of the LZ/UBA domain remain unclear. The shorter Cbl-c lacks all of the C-terminal regions except for a short proline-rich region; the domain organization of Cbl-c is more similar to the C. elegans Cbl (SLI-1) and the shorter alternatively-spliced form of Cbl in Drosophila [13]. A visual representation of Cbl protein domains and structures from a number of species can be found in Figure 1.

A large body of biochemical and cell biological work [1-3,14] has led to our current paradigms that Cbl-family E3 ubiquitin ligases serve as negative regulators of PTK signaling. In essentially every mammalian cell system, Cbl proteins are recruited to PTK-coupled cell surface receptors (either receptor tyrosine kinases [RTKs] or receptors non-covalently associated with PTKs, such as antigen and cytokine receptors) upon engagement of these receptors with appropriate...
ligands. An essential component of the recruitment of Cbl proteins to PTK-coupled receptors involves the generation of phosphotyrosine-containing motifs, either on receptor cytoplasmic tails themselves (as with many RTKs) or on associated intermediate proteins (as with certain RTKs or antigen receptors), which serve as docking sites for the TKB domains of Cbl proteins [15]. Binding of Cbl with adaptors such as Grb2 has also been shown to recruit Cbl to activated RTKs [16]. The PTK association allows Cbl proteins to ubiquitinate both the PTKs and associated receptors (for non-covalently associated PTKs). The Cbl protein-PTK association also leads to phosphorylation of C-terminal motifs in Cbl and association of SH2 domain-containing signaling proteins. It is clear that proteins that are in complex with activated PTK-associated Cbl proteins also undergo ubiquitination [17]. Generally, ubiquitination of targets by Cbl proteins occurs as either mono- or poly-ubiquitination [2]. Cbl-dependent mono-ubiquitination and K63-linked poly-ubiquitination appears to be a feature of activated RTKs [18], while other targets, such as ZAP70/Syk and Src-family kinases, appear to undergo poly-ubiquitination [19-21]. In most cases, these modifications direct ubiquitinated targets of Cbl proteins toward degradation. However, degradation can occur through two distinct pathways: mono- or K63-linked poly-ubiquitination of activated cell surface receptors enables their sorting to the lysosomes through recognition of the ubiquitin chains by Endosomal Sorting Complex for Transport (ESCRT) machinery, which facilitates the entry of ubiquitin-tagged receptors into inner vesicles of the multivesicular body (MVB) compartment; in contrast, many poly-ubiquitinated targets of Cbl proteins undergo proteasomal degradation. Interestingly, degradation-independent negative regulation by Cbl proteins, potentially by regulating subcellular localization alone, has been suggested in selected cases such as the PI 3-kinase [22].

The negative regulatory role of Cbl proteins indicated by biochemical and cell biological analyses has led to studies of animal models that have provided a number of novel insights into both their physiological functions and the pathological consequences of their defective expression or function. Germline deletion of Cbl is associated with a series of mild to moderate phenotypes during development. Cbl-null mice exhibit mild hyper-cellularity of a number of lymphoid organs, including the bone marrow and spleen with increased numbers of megakaryocytes [23], expansion of hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells in the bone marrow [24], mild increase in mammary ductal branching [23], altered positive selection of T-cells in the thymus [25], reduced male fertility [26], lean muscles due to elevated insulin action [27] and other phenotypes; however, these mice have a relatively normal life span. In contrast, Cbl-b deletion does not produce any apparent developmental abnormalities in mice; however, Cbl-b-null mice exhibit hyperactive T cell responsiveness to antigens leading to autoimmune disease, a failure to induce energy in T cells [28,29], and reduced muscle atrophy in response to unloading [30]; however, if infectious challenges are avoided, these mice also have a relatively normal life span. In contrast to individual knockouts, germ line deletion of Cbl and Cbl-b together leads to early embryonic lethality, indicating their redundant but essential functions during embryogenesis. Importantly, use of a conditional (floxed) allele of Cbl on a Cbl-b-null background has allowed for tissue-selective deletion of Cbl and Cbl-b, demonstrating that these two proteins function redundantly to regulate key physiological functions. Cbl plus Cbl-b deletion in T cells using T cell-specific Lck-Cre led to a systemic autoimmune/inflammatory disease and dramatic hyper-responsiveness of T cells to antigen stimulation [31]. Cbl/Cbl-b double deletion in the B-cell compartment in mice led to loss of peripheral tolerance [32]. Finally, deletion of Cbl and Cbl-b in hematopoietic stem cells led to a myeloproliferative disease that was fatal within 8 weeks of birth [33]. Notably, deletion of the predominantly epithelial tissue-expressed Cbl-c gene is without a phenotype [34]; however, a combined deletion of Cbl-c with Cbl or Cbl-b has not yet been examined. Collectively, the knockout animal models suggest that Cbl and Cbl-b proteins serve selective physiological
roles, perhaps due to their relative levels of expression in various tissues. More importantly, however, the models show that these two members function cooperatively during animal development as well as in maintenance of normal tissue homeostasis in adults.

**Oncogenic Mutations of Cbl in Human Leukemia**

A potential role for alterations of Cbl-family protein function in oncogenesis was implied by very early observations. The founding family member Cbl was identified as the cellular homolog of the viral oncogene v-Cbl, the transforming gene of a murine retrovirus that causes hematological malignancies in mice [35]. The v-Cbl oncogene represents a fusion of the viral gag protein with the N-terminal 357 amino acids of Cbl, which we now know functions as the TKB domain, deleting the remaining sequences that confer the essential E3 ligase activity on Cbl [36]. Both Cbl-1-357, corresponding to v-Cbl sequences without the viral gag protein, as well as a 17 amino acid internal deletion of Cbl cloned from the murine transformed B cell line 70Z/3 (and now commonly known as Cbl-70Z mutant) were dominantly transforming in rodent fibroblasts [37]. Analyses of Cbl-1-357 versus Cbl70Z and other engineered mutants of the helical linker demonstrated that oncogenic versions of Cbl with an intact C-terminal region were more transforming [37]. The differential transforming activity correlated with the degree of elevated PTK signaling induced by these oncogenes and resulted in the formulation of dominant-negative inhibition of Cbl-b (via the TKB domain) and potential gain of function (via the C-terminal regions) mechanisms of Cbl oncogenesis in the rodent transformation system [38]. These early studies provided a strong basis for an expectation that aberrations of Cbl proteins might occur in human cancer but it was only recently that this prediction was indeed confirmed.

Beginning with initial reports in 2007, a large number of studies have now established that mutations clustered in the linker helical region and RING finger domain of Cbl (and rarely Cbl-b) are the features of myeloid leukemias, predominantly in the category of myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative disorders (MDS/MPD) [39-59]. These disorders include juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia (JML), chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CML), and a typical chronic myeloid leukemia (aCML). At present, over 3,500 patients have been collectively analyzed and mutations have been observed in about 4% of cases (based on current compilation of data in COSMIC, or Catalogue of Somatic Mutations In Cancer, maintained by the Sanger Institute) [http://www.sanger.ac.uk/genetics/CGP/cosmic/]. Clustering of the cancer-associated Cbl mutations in the linker and RING finger regions (Figure 2) strongly argues for the loss of ubiquitin ligase activity as a critical feature of conversion to oncogenes. This argument is further strengthened by the predominance of mutations on highly conserved residues in the RING finger (e.g., the Zn-coordinating cysteine and histidine residues as well as known E2 contact residues) as well as the helical linker [60-62]. While not all the mutations have been directly studied in cell culture models, those that have been examined have confirmed the lack of E3 ligase function [40,43,47]. Of note, Cbl mutations are sometimes found in the germline of a small subset of patients which then undergo somatic duplication to initiate leukemogenesis. This is particularly the situation in patients with JMML in which Cbl mutations account for nearly 15% of all cases [53,54].

**Mechanisms of Mutant Cbl-Induced Oncogenesis**

Notable features associated with Cbl mutations in patients strongly suggest their role as oncogenesis-driver mutations. In nearly all patients harboring Cbl mutations, the mutant allele is found duplicated together with loss of the wild type allele in a process known as acquired uniparental disomy (aUPD). The resulting loss of both wildtype alleles may provide one mechanism of oncogenesis, via a complete loss of function of a tumor suppressor. A mere deletion of the wildtype allele with a hemizygous mutant allele is rarely observed in patients, suggesting that the a UPD to produce a duplication of the mutant Cbl allele is a critical oncogenic event. However, overexpression of oncogenic mutants of Cbl (such as Cbl 70Z) in rodent fibroblasts is dominantly transforming even when endogenous Cbl and Cbl-b are expressed [37,38]. This is consistent with a dominant-negative mechanism in which the mutant protein would compete with the
remaining copy of wildtype Cbl (as seen in a minority of human patients) and Cbl-b (retained in nearly all cases) for binding to targets that are negatively regulated by wildtype Cbl proteins. Compelling evidence for this hypothesis comes from the fact that neither Cbl nor Cbl-b knockouts have overt phenotypes, while double deletion in the hematopoietic compartment results in a myeloproliferative disease remarkably similar to the human disease associated with Cbl mutants [33]. Furthermore, Cbl RING finger mutant knock-in mice with a wildtype Cbl allele do not present with MPD while a hemizygous Cbl RING finger knock-out mutant (together with a Cbl-null allele) produces MPD [63]. Introduction of a point mutation (G306E) in the TKB domain of the transforming Cbl-1-357 truncation negated the ability of the TKB domain to bind to PTK targets. Consistent with the competitive dominant-negative inhibition model in rodent fibroblasts, this resulted in a loss of Cbl 1-357’s transforming ability [38]. The AUPD of the mutant Cbl locus is also expected to increase the relative level of mutant versus the wildtype Cbl proteins and thereby allow a more effective dominant negative inhibition of Cbl-b. Studies carried out by Sanada et al. [47] support this possibility as the ectopic expression of mutant Cbl proteins promoted a higher degree of proliferation in a Cbl-null background versus a wildtype background in murine hematopoietic stem cells [47].

However, duplication of the mutant Cbl allele in leukemia patients also suggests the possibility of a gain of function mechanism in addition to dominant-negative inhibition of endogenous Cbl proteins. It is noteworthy that no instances of bi-allelic loss of Cbl without an oncogenic mutation has been reported in patients, indicating that dominant-negative inhibition of Cbl-b and/or the gain of function phenotype of mutants is essential. In favor of a gain of function mechanism, it is of note that none of the patients have revealed truncations akin to v-Cbl oncoprotein that could function as relatively pure dominant-negative mutants by competing with the wildtype protein for the target PTK binding. Studies in genetically tractable models will be needed to unambiguously define the contributions of the three mechanisms discussed above.

**Signaling pathways of mutant Cbl-driven oncogenesis**

A key question connected to how mutant Cbl proteins drive oncogenesis involves which upstream cell surface receptors (either RTKs or receptors associated with PTKs) are aberrantly active in leukemic cells carrying Cbl mutations. An equally critical question is which downstream signaling pathways mediate oncogenesis. Answers to these questions will be critical in designing therapeutic approaches for patients whose disease is driven by Cbl mutations. Based on the known roles of Cbl proteins as negative regulators of PTKs, together with their ability to directly complex with a subset of downstream mediators of PTK signals (indicated under Introduction to Cbl proteins), it is likely that a number of signaling pathways are
concurrently elevated in leukemic cells. However, it is probable that only some of these are essential for oncogenesis and deciphering these will be important.

Because of the extensive protein-protein interaction domains within the C-terminal region of Cbl, multiple signaling pathways are likely to be involved in mutant Cbl-driven oncogenesis. The hypothesized gain of function mechanism of mutant Cbl proteins involve the role of these proteins to act as multi-domain scaffolds to aid in the formation of complexes that paradoxically result in hyperactivation rather than attenuation of signaling through Cbl’s normal physiological interacting partners. While normal Cbl protein attenuates the signaling functions of its partners by promoting their ubiquitination, mutant Cbl (lacking E3 ligase activity) instead brings its bound partners into close proximity with activated PTKs (bound at the TKB domain of mutant Cbl and not subjected to ubiquitination-dependent degradation), resulting in a synergistic increase in signaling from these supra-molecular complexes (Figure 3).

A potentially important C-terminal domain that may be involved in the gain of function of mutant Cbl is the proline-rich region, which has been shown to interact with SH3 domain-containing proteins such as Src-family kinases (SFKs) and Grb2 [64,65]. SFKs are involved in the propagation of signaling from a variety of cell surface receptors, including cytokine receptors, RTKs, integrins and G-protein coupled receptors [66]. Given the availability of clinically-active SFK inhibitors, definitive analyses of the role of SFKs are urgently needed.

Clinical analyses have revealed that Cbl mutations in patients, especially those with JMML, are mutually exclusive with other mutations known to drive oncogenesis by finally activating the Ras signaling pathways [44,52,55]. Notably, in vitro analyses have shown elevated Erk signaling in hematopoietic stem cells from animal models of mutant Cbl oncogenesis [33,63]. These findings suggest that mutant Cbl proteins may indeed promote oncogenesis via the Ras-MAPK signaling pathway. In addition to general upregulation of this pathway due to misregulated PTK signaling, it is also possible that activation of this pathway may occur due to Cbl’s Grb2 interactions or Cbl’s known interactions via the TKB domain with Sprouty2, a negative regulator of Ras-Erk signaling [67].

The tyrosine phosphorylation sites within Cbl’s C-terminus are also likely to play a prominent role in mutant Cbl’s gain of function. Each one of the three major tyrosine phosphorylation sites on Cbl (Y700, Y731, and Y774) have been well-characterized and mediate direct interactions with key signaling pathways. Cbl Y700 is known to interact with Vav-family proteins, which are guanine nucleotide exchange factors for Rho/Rac family GTPases [17]. Rho/Rac GTPases along with Vav-family proteins, which are guanine nucleotide exchange factors for Rap GTPases through interaction with the GEF CG3, and these pathways have been linked to regulation of cell adhesion, migration, and oncogenic signaling [72]. A multitude of recent evidence has indicated that the overexpression of Crk proteins, which are prominent interaction partners of Cbl [73,74], is commonly associated with human cancers [75].

Studies of clinical samples as well as mouse models of leukemia associated with mutant Cbl have also revealed the elevation of pSTAT5 and other signaling intermediates whose direct link to Cbl remains to be explored. The general increase in signaling downstream of PTKs is expected since their post-activation degradation is expected to be reduced in mutant Cbl-expressing cells. While studies thus far have clearly raised the possible involvement of a number of signaling pathways in mutant Cbl-driven oncogenesis, establishing a direct causative role of these pathways will require further analyses.

Related to upstream receptors and PTKs that function aberrantly in mutant Cbl-dependent leukemogenesis, both patient-derived leukemic cells and cells derived from animal models have revealed hypersensitivity to a number of growth factors/cytokines, including many, such as GM-CSF, SCF, FLT3 ligand, thrombopoietin, and IL-3, that are known to regulate hematopoietic stem/progenitor cell proliferation [33,47]. Recent studies using a genetic cross between the RING finger knock-in and FLT3 ligand-null mice suggested that FLT3 signaling is important in promoting leukemogenesis [63]. More genetic studies together with biochemical analyses using cell line and animal models will be needed to dissect out the key receptors and PTKs involved in mutant Cbl-driven oncogenesis.

Clearly, a systematic analysis of the various protein-protein interaction motifs is needed in order to narrow down the critical binding partners and signaling pathways contributing to mutant Cbl-dependent leukemogenesis. These analyses will greatly benefit from being performed in a variety of backgrounds of Cbl, including one that is deficient of all endogenous Cbl proteins (such as a Cbl/Cbl-b double knockout [Cbl-c is primarily restricted to epithelial cells]), which will allow for differentiation of dominant negative and gain of function mechanisms.

Conclusions
Cbl proteins have now been established as tumor suppressors and mutations of Cbl are known to drive the MDS/MPN subset of hematological neoplasms. These advances have led to a need to better understand the function of Cbl proteins and how their mutant forms contribute to oncogenesis. Acquired uniparental disomy causes duplication of mutant Cbl genes and loss of wildtype Cbl in most patients, suggesting that a combination of the loss-of-function of a tumor suppressor, dominant-negative inhibition of Cbl-b and a gain of function mechanism all lead to the development of oncogenesis. Understanding the contributions of these mechanisms and delineating the essential roles of signaling pathways that are rendered hyperactive in leukemic cells should facilitate the development of novel therapies targeting mutant Cbl-induced and related malignancies.
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